PROJECT MANAGEMENT CONSULTANT FOR THE SUAI SUPPLY BASE AND THE CENTRE OF EXCELLENCE IN OIL & GAS, SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY DESIGN & BUILD PROJECTS
(TENDER-RFP/244/MPRM-2026)

ADDENDUM NO.4
Date: 19 November 2026

This Addendum No.4 is issued to amend following items in the RFP Document in accordance with ITC 13.1(a) of the RFP Document (Section 1).

Item	Section/Clause	As is the Original RFP Document	As amended in the Addendum No. 4
1	**Section 1:** Instructions to Consultants 6. Eligibility	6.1 A Consultant may be a private, public, or government-owned enterprises, or any combination of such entities in the form of a joint venture (JV), under an existing agreement or with the intention to enter into such an agreement supported by a letter of intent. In the case of a JV, all members shall be jointly and severally liable for the execution of the Contract. Subject to ITC 6.2 to 6.9, Consultants from any country are eligible to participate in the procurement process under this RFP Document.	6.1 A Consultant may be a private, public, or government-owned enterprises, or any combination of such entities in the form of a joint venture (JV), under an existing agreement or with the intention to enter into such an agreement supported by a letter of intent. In the case of a JV, all members shall be jointly and severally liable for the execution of the Contract. Subject to ITC 6.2 to 6.9, Consultants from any country are eligible to participate in the procurement process under this RFP Document. **Unless specified in the DS, there is no limit on the number of members in a JV.**
2	**Section 2:** Data Sheet 6.1	-	**Maximum number of members in the JV shall be: 3 (three).**
3	Evaluation and Qualification Criteria **Phase 3:** National Economic Benefit (NEB) Adjustments:	**Adjusted Overall Combined Score = [(Technical Score x TW) x (1+TB/100)] + [(Financial Score x FW) x (1+FB/100)]** **Where:** **TB = Percentage increase applied to the weighted Technical Score:** • 2.5% (National Consultant) • 2% (Non-National Consultant) **FB = Percentage increase applied to the weighted Financial Score:** • 2.5% (National Consultant) • 2% (Non-National Consultant) **Note:** • While National Consultant may receive a benefit adjustment applied	**Adjusted Overall Combined Score = [(Technical Score x TW) x (1+TB/100)] + [(Financial Score x FW) x (1+FB/100)]** **Where:** **TB = Percentage increase applied to the weighted Technical Score:** • 2.5% (National Consultant) • 2% (Non-National Consultant) • **2.25% (Joint venture of National Consultant and Non-National Consultant)** **FB = Percentage increase applied to the weighted Financial Score:** • 2.5% (National Consultant) • 2% (Non-National Consultant) • **2.25% (Joint venture of National Consultant and Non-National**

Item	Section/Clause	As is the Original RFP Document	As amended in the Addendum No. 4
		to their Overall Combined Score, Non-National Consultant may also be eligible for a benefit adjustment in their respective Overall Combined Score, provided they meet the stated criteria, in accordance with Article 87 of Decree-Law No. 1/2025. • The National Economic Benefit is not evaluated as a separate criterion; it is applied as a scoring adjustment to the Technical and Financial weights for evaluation purposes only, as permitted under Article 86(4)(c) of Decree-Law No. 1/2025. • Consultants who do not meet the stated criteria will receive a zero-percent adjustment (0% NEB). In such cases, their Adjusted Overall Combined Score will remain the same as their original Overall Combined Score.	**Consultant)** **Note:** • While National Consultant may receive a benefit adjustment applied to their Overall Combined Score, Non-National Consultant may also be eligible for a benefit adjustment in their respective Overall Combined Score, provided they meet the stated criteria, in accordance with Article 87 of Decree-Law No. 1/2025. • The National Economic Benefit is not evaluated as a separate criterion; it is applied as a scoring adjustment to the Technical and Financial weights for evaluation purposes only, as permitted under Article 86(4)(c) of Decree-Law No. 1/2025. • Consultants who do not meet the stated criteria will receive a zero-percent adjustment (0% NEB). In such cases, their Adjusted Overall Combined Score will remain the same as their original Overall Combined Score. • **Where the Consultant is a Joint Venture comprising one or more National Consultants and one or more Non-National Consultants, under a Joint Venture agreement or a letter of intent to form a Joint Venture for the execution of specific project, and the National Consultant member(s) hold an aggregate share of the Contract of at least 30%, whether in terms of the scope of work to be performed or economic participation.** • **The aggregate share of the Joint Venture member(s) shall be stated in the Joint Venture agreement or letter of intent to form a Joint Venture**
4	Section 1 ITC 25.1 (a) **Correction of Errors**	**Correction of Errors:** Activities and items described in the Technical Proposal but not priced in the Financial Proposal, shall be assumed to be included in the prices of other activities or items, and no corrections are made to the Financial Proposal. The following shall be considered:	**Correction of Errors:** Activities and items described in the Technical Proposal but not priced in the Financial Proposal, shall be assumed to be included in the prices of other activities or items, and no corrections are made to the Financial Proposal. The following shall be considered:

Item	Section/Clause	As is the Original RFP Document	As amended in the Addendum No. 4
		Lump Sum Contracts: (b) If a Lump Sum contract form is included in the RFP Document, the Consultant is deemed to have included all prices in the Financial Proposal, so neither arithmetical corrections nor price adjustments shall be made. The total price, inclusive of taxes understood as per ITC 26 below, specified in the Financial Proposal (Form FIN-1) shall be considered as the offered price	**Lump Sum Contracts:** (b) If a Lump Sum contract form is included in the RFP Document, the Employer's evaluation committee will (a) correct any computational or arithmetical errors, and (b) adjust the prices if they fail to reflect all inputs included for the respective activities or items in the Technical Proposal. In case of discrepancy between (i) a partial amount (subtotal) and the total amount, or (ii) between the amount derived by multiplication of unit price with quantity and the total price, or (iii) between words and figures, the former will prevail. In case of discrepancy between the Technical and Financial Proposals in indicating quantities of input, the Technical Proposal prevails and the Employer's evaluation committee shall correct the quantification indicated in the Financial Proposal so as to make it consistent with that indicated in the Technical Proposal, apply the relevant unit price included in the Financial Proposal to the corrected quantity, and correct the total Proposal cost.

Hermingardo Albano Soares
Executive Director of National Procurement Commission (NPC)